%JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, № 5/08)

## CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover theet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the fitting and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet: (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I (a) DI LINEIDO		,					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
ANGELA HOOVER			CREDITORS FINANCIAL GROUP, LLC				
			CREDITORS FINANCIAE GROUP, LLC				
(h) comment							
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff			County of Residence	of First Listed	Defendant	
(c) Attorney's (Firm N	ame, Address, Telephone I	Sumber and Empil Adv	deoce)				
		AND HERRY BUR 120000	11 (33)	NOTE: IN LAN	ND CONDEMN	ATION CASES, U	SE THE LOCATION OF THE
Craig Thor Kimmel, E	squire				INVOLVED.		-
Kimmel & Silverman,	P.C.						
30 E. Butler Pike				Attorneys (If Known)			
Ambler, PA 19002							
(215) 540-8888			_				
II. BASIS OF JURISI	NCTION						
II. DASIS OF JUNISI	JIC 13ON (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	liir Ci	TIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
D 1 U.S. Government	☑ 3 Federal Question		[ '	(For Diversity Cases Only)			and One Box for Defendant)
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citiza		PTF DEF	Incorporated or Pr	PTF DEF rincipal Place (D 4 (D 4
		-				of Business In Thi	
Pl 2 U.S. Government	(7.4 Diversity		۵				
Defendant	•		Citize	n of Another State C	72 072	Incorporated and I	
	(Indicate Citizens)	hip of Parties in Item III)	•			of Business In .	Another State
			Citizo	n or Subject of a	3 (3 3	Foreign Nation	0 4 0 4
		···		reign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box C	Only)					
CONTRACT		RTS PERCONAL	2.15 20FC	RELITUREMENALTY	- SEESTANGBAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		0 Agriculture	Ø 422 Appea	II 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment
(7) 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	Ø 362 Personal Injury		0 Other Food & Drug	☐ 423 Withd	rawal	C 410 Antitrust
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Med. Malpractic		5 Drug Related Scizure	28 US	C 157	☐ 430 Banks and Banking
O 150 Recovery of Overpayment		<ul> <li>365 Personal Injury - Product Liability</li> </ul>		of Property 21 USC 881 0 Liquor Laws	S S D D O D D D	W. D. CHIEC	10 450 Commerce
& Enforcement of Judgment		☐ 368 Asbestos Person:		0 R.R. & Truck		TY RIGHTS	460 Deportation     470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 151 Medicare Act	O 330 Federal Employers'	Injury Product		0 Airline Regs.	O 830 Patent		Corrupt Organizations
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability		0 Occupational	(7) 840 Trader	mark	₹ 480 Consumer Credit
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud	- 1	Safety/Health	+		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	O 371 Truth in Lending		Other LABOR	2 2 . COCIATO	SECURITY	☐ 810 Selective Service
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Personal		0 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (		Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
2 100 Stockholders' Suits	D 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage		Act	☐ 862 Black		875 Customer Challenge
3 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	Cl 385 Property Damage		D Labor/Mgmt. Relations	CJ 863 DIWC	/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Product Liability	☐ 730	0 Labor/Mgnu.Reporting	Q 864 SSID.	Tide XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	<u>18.31</u> ⊂ 240	& Disclosure Act  Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (4		Ø 891 Agricultural Acts
O 210 Land Condemnation	O 441 Voling	☐ 510 Motions to Vacat		Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes	CTAX SUITS	892 Economic Stabilization Act     893 Environmental M., ***********************************
☐ 220 Forcelosure	☐ 442 Employment	Sentence		Empl. Ret. Inc.		(C.S. Fladimit (Cndant)	894 Energy Allocation Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	O 443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus:		Security Act	☐ 871 IRS	•	895 Freedom of Information
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	☐ 530 General				C 7609	Act
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	<ul> <li>444 Welfare</li> <li>445 Amer, w/Disabilities -</li> </ul>	O 535 Death Penalty O 540 Mandamus & Oil		IMMIGRATION	듸		3 900Appeal of Fee Determination
ar war tin Giner men 110pc.ty	Employment	☐ 550 Civil Rights		2 Naturalization Application 3 Habeas Corous -	1		Under Equal Access to Justice
	CJ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -		"	Alien Detainee			© 950 Constitutionality of
	Other		O 465	Other Immigration			State Statutes
	440 Other Civil Rights			Actions			
		<b>!</b>					
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>
V. ORIGIN (Place:	an "X" in One Box Only)						Appeal to District
	emoyed from 🔲 3	Remanded from	4 Reins		ferred from	☐ 6 Multidistr	ict 📇 🤈 Judge from
Proceeding St	ate Court	Appellate Court	Reop	ened anome (speci	CI WISHING	Litigation	
<del>-</del> -	Cite the U.S. Civil St	ntute under which you a	re filing (I	Do not cite jurisdiction:		less diversity):	700Enen
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON						
THE CHOOL OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	ause:			-		
		ction Practices Ad	) t				
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	DE	MANDS	CH	ECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.	. 23				RY DEMAND:	Ø Yes □ No
VIII DELATED CAS	E(C)						
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(5) (See instructions):						•
		JUDGE		1	DOCKET	NUMBER	
Explanation:			بمعر	/	_		
inplanation.			2000	/			
11-1-10				_			
<del></del>		01011		7			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF	MITTOR'	NEY OF RECORD			
				-			
		·					

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Address of Phintiff  Address of Phintiff  Address of Definitions  (Die Reverse Side For Additional Space)  (Decision and the Address of Definitions of the State of Phintip Age of Decision and any publicly held corporation owning 10% of more of as stock."  (Aducts have opinion of the Dischosore Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Cir.P. 7.1(a))  (Aducts have opinion of the Dischosore Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Cir.P. 7.1(a))  (Aducts have opinion of the Dischosore Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Cir.P. 7.1(a))  (Aducts have opinion of the Dischosore Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Cir.P. 7.1(a))  (Aducts have opinion of the Dischosore Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Cir.P. 7.1(a))  (Aducts have opinion of the Dischosore Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Cir.P. 7.1(a))  (Aducts have opinion of the Dischosore Statement Form in accordance of the statement of a statement of the Cart. The Cart. Aducts of the	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be assignment to appropriate calendar.	e used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of			
Adultons of Defendants:    State   Checkeders   Checkeder	Address of Plaintiff: 900 May Post Office Rund, Strashica DA 17579				
Chief Control action involve a nangevernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and publicly field corporation owning 10% are more of its stock."	2:-> 6				
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any mubility field exposution owning 10% for more of its stock?  (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))  Does this case involve multifactive litigation possibilities?  Part I have a season of the same individual in the season of the same transaction as a prior sail pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  Vest No. 1  I have a season of the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior sail pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  Vest No. 1  Does this case involve the validity or indivigement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  I have a second or successive labetas corpus, social accurity appeal, or pro so civil rights case filed by the same individual?  Vest No. 1  I carried multiply Contract, Marrine Contract, and All Other Contracts  I carried multiply Contract, Marrine Contract, and All Other Contracts  I carried multiply Contract, Marrine Contract, and All Other Contracts  I carried multiply Contract and Other Contracts  I carried multiply of the carried multiply Con					
Does this case involve multidistrict hilgation possibilities?   Vest   No.	, (Use Reverse Side For Ac	dditional Space)			
Does this case involve multidistrict hitigation possibilities?  **RELAYED CASE, IF ANY:**  Cross Vanuabet:**  Judge**  Date Terminated:**  List his case as decreed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:*  Is this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  Yes:**  No.**  Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  **Yes:**  No.**  Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  **Yes:**  No.**  **Loss:**  No.**  Loss:**  Indicate the suit of the same individual?**  Yes:**  No.**  **CIVITE:**  No.**  CIVITE:**  No.**  CIVITE:**  No.**  CIVITE:**  No.**  CIVITE:**  No.**  CIVITE:**  No.**  No.**  Indicate the suit of the same individual?**  Yes:**  No.**  No.*	Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation ar	nd any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?			
Date Terminated:   Date Terminated:	(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No X			
Critic cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:  1. Is this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  2. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  2. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  3. Does this case a second or successive habeas cerpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  2. Death of the previously formatical and other Contracts  3. Dones Acts excess and prior the previously formatical and other Contracts  4. Deather Contract and Other Contracts  5. Death of the Personal Injury  6. Death of the Personal Injury  7. Death of the Personal Injury  8. Death of the Personal Injury (Please specify)  7. Death of the Personal Injury (Please specify)  8. Death of the Personal Injury (Please specify)  9. Death of the Personal Injury (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Cargory)  1. Death of the Previous of the same of Stock and the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the same of Stock other than monetary damages is sough.  Alterney ID.9  NOTE: A trial de now will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.F. 38.  1 evrify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except a noted above.  DATE: Held of the within the case is not related to any case now pending or within one y		Yesti No X			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:  1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered sait pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  Yes   No.    N		Para Theresians I.			
1. Is this case related to propenty included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or poo se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  5. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  6. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  7. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  8. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:  1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  2. Airplane Personal Injury  3. Assault, Defamation  4. Antitrust  4. Marine Personal Injury  5. Patent  5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  8. Habeas Corpus  9. Securities Act(s) Cases  10. Social Security Review Cases  11. All other Federal Question Cases  12. All other Federal Question Cases  13. Check Appropriate Cargony)  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  Check Appropriate Cargony  Appropriate Law  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  1 errify that, to my knowledge, the within easyls not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except an anted above.  DATE:  1 Appropriate Law  Appropr	Judge				
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated uction in this count?  2. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  7. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  8. Diversity Installation Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  2. Airplane Personal Injury  3. Assault, Defamation  4. Marine Personal Injury  5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  7. Civil Rights  8. Products Liability  8. Products Liability  8. Products Liability  9. Securities Act(s) Cases  10. Social Security Review Cases  11. All other Pederal Question Cases  12. ARBITRATION CENTIFICATION  (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CENTIFICATION  (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CENTIFICATION  (Please specify)  Arginey-at-Law  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  1 erriffy that, to my knowledge, the within cases into related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.  DATE: 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  2. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  2. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  3. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  2. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  3. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  5. Indemnity Defamation Cases:  6. Diversity Institution Cases:  6. Diversity Institution Cases:  7. In carrier and Other Contracts  8. Diversity Institution Cases:  8. Diversity Institution Cases:  9. Diversity Institution Cases:  1. District and Other Contracts  1. District and Other Personal Injury  2. Airplane Personal Injury  3. Diversity Institution Cases:  9. Diversity Institution Cases:  1. District and Other Personal Injury  1. District and Other Personal Injury  1. District and Other Personal Injury  1. District Personal Injury  1. District Personal Injury  1. District Personal Injury  1. District Personal Injury  2. District Personal Injury  3. District Personal Injury  4. District Personal Injury  5. District	1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	ar previously terminated action in this court?			
action in this court?  Ves   No    N	2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a poor of				
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  Yes Note:    Note:	uction in this court?	N penning of within one year previously terminated			
terminated action in this court?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  Vest Notice  CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)  A Federal Question Cases:  1.   Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  2.   FELA  3.   Jones Act-Personal Injury  4.   Antitrust  4.   Marine Personal Injury  5.   Patent  6.   Labor-Management Relations  6.   Other Personal Injury (Please specify)  7.   Civil Rights  8.   Products Liability  8.   Products Liability  9.   Securities Act(s) Cases  10.   Social Security Review Cases  11.   All other Federal Question Cases   S.U.S. C. S. 1692  (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Caregory)  Coursed of rever ye certify:    Pursuant to Jocal Civil Rule 53.3 Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of S150,000.00 Castosive of interes and costs:    Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE:   H   - 10		Ycs□ Not.			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  Yes No No  No No No No No No No No No No No No No N		~ ~ .			
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)  A redernal Question Cases:	terminated action in this court?	Ycs L.J Nolyth			
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A Pederal Question Cases:  1.   Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  1.   Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  1.   Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  1.   Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  2.   Airplane Personal Injury  3.   Assault, Defamation  4.   Marine Personal Injury  5.   Patent  6.   Other Personal Injury  7.   Civil Rights  8.   Products Liability  8.   Habens Corpus  9.   Securities Act(s) Cases  10.   Social Security Review Cases  11.   All other Federal Question Cases   S. U.S. C. S.   1092    Please specify)    ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category)     Question Cases   S. Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sunt of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;     Relief other than monetary damages is sough).    DATE:	4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro-se civil rights	case filed by the same individual?			
A. Productal Question Cares:    Contract   C		Yes□ No)(C)			
A. Productal Question Cares:    Contract   C	CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)				
2.   Airplane Personal Injury 3.   Jones Act-Personal Injury 3.   Assault, Defamation 4.   Antitrust 5.   Patent 5.   Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6.   Labor-Management Relations 6.   Civil Rights 7.   Products Liability 8.   Habeas Corpus 9.   Securities Act(s) Cases 10.   Social Security Review Cases 11.   All other Federal Question Cases   SU.S. C. S 1692 (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) (Plea	A. Federal Question Cases:				
3.   Jones Act-Personal Injury 4.   Antitrust 4.   Marine Personal Injury 5.   Patent 6.   Labor-Management Relations 6.   Other Personal Injury 7.   Civil Rights 7.   Products Liability 8.   Habeas Corpus 9.   Securities Act(s) Cases 10.   Social Section Meeting Personal Cases 10.   Social Section Relation Cases 10.   Social Section Relation Cases 10.   All other Federal Question Cases 10.   All other Federal Question Cases 10.   ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) (Please of interest and costs;   Relief other than monetary damages is sough).  DATE:   H - 1 O					
4. □ Antitrust  4. □ Marine Personal Injury  5. □ Patent  5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  6. □ Civil Rights  7. □ Civil Rights  8. □ Habeas Corpus  9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases  10. □ Social Security Review Cases  11. ★ All other Federal Question Cases  12. □ Parsunat to Jocal Civil Rule 53. Section 3(e)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  13. □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  14. □ Marine Personal Injury  5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  6. □ Other Personal Injury  6. □ Other Personal Injury  7. □ Products Liability  8. □ Products Liability  Asbestos  9. □ All other Diversity Cases  (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (Check Appropriate Caregory)  15. □ Pursunat to Jocal Civil Rule 53. Section 3(e)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  15. □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: □ □ □ Automote Diversity  Automote Diversity  Automote Diversity  Automote Diversity Review Cases  (Please specify)  Altomote Diversity Review Cases  (Please specify)  Altomote Diversity Cases  (Please specify)  Altomote Diversity Review Cases  (Please specify)  Arbitration Caregory  Automote Diversity Review Cases  (Please specify)  Altomote Diversity Review Cases  (Please specify)  Altomote Diversity Review Cases  (Please specify)  Altomote Diversity Review Cases  (Please specify)  Arbitration Caregory  (Please specify)  Altomote Diversity Review Cases  (Please specify)  Altomote Diversity Review Cases  (Please specify)  Altomote Diversity Review Cases  (Please specify)  Altomote Diversity Cases  (Please specify)  Altomote Diversity Review Cases  (Please specify)  Altomote Diversity Review Cases  (Please specify)  Altomote Diversity Cases  (Please specify)  Altomote Diversity Cases  (Please specify)  Altomote Diversity Cases  (Please specify					
5. □ Patent 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 6. □ Other Personal Injury 7. □ Civil Rights 7. □ Products Liability 8. □ Habeas Corpus 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases 11. ▼ All other Federal Question Cases 15. □ ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Caregory) (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Carego	· -	-			
6. □ Labor-Management Relations  6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)  7. □ Civil Rights  7. □ Products Liability  8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos  9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases  10. □ Social Security Review Cases  11. ★ All other Federal Question Cases		- · ·			
specify)  7. □ Civil Rights  8. □ Habeas Corpus  9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases  9. □ Social Security Review Cases  10. □ Social Security Review Cases  11. ★ All other Federal Question Cases		<ol> <li>5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury</li> </ol>			
7. □ Products Liability 8. □ Habeas Corpus 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases 11. ✓ All other Federal Question Cases   S. U.S. C. S. J. U.G. Q. (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) □ Pursuant to Jocal Civil Rule \$3.2. Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs: □ Relief other than monetary damages is sough.  DATE:   H -   O	6. D Labor-Management Relations	<ol><li>□ Other Personal Injury (Please</li></ol>			
8. Products Liability — Asbestos  9. Securities Act(s) Cases  10. Social Security Review Cases  11. All other Federal Question Cases   5 U.S. C. \$ 1692 (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category)  Check Appropriate Category)  Pursuant to Iocal Civil Rule 53.2 Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Relief other than monetary damages is sough.  DATE: H-10  Automages are now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.  DATE: M-10  Automages as not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.  DATE: M-10  Automages 15 U.S. C. \$ 1692  Automages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Automages 15 U.S. C. \$ 1692  Automages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Automages 15 U.S. C. \$ 1692  Automages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Automages 15 U.S. C. \$ 1692  Automages 15 U.S. C. \$ 1692  Automages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Automages 15 U.S. C. \$ 1692  Automages 16 U.S. C.		specify)			
9. Securities Act(s) Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) Pursuant to Jocal Civil Rule 53.3, Section 3(e)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs: Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE:  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  Lecrify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.  DATE:  DATE:  Autorney-at-Law Autorne	7. D Civil Rights	7. ☐ Products Liability			
10. Social Security Review Cases  (Please specify)  All other Federal Question Cases  (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (Check Appropriate Category)  Counsel of record do hereby certify:  Pursuant to Jocal Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE:    DATE:	•	8. Products Liability - Asbestos			
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (Check Appropriate Category)  Counsel of record do hereby certify:  Pursuant to local Civil Rule 53.3, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE:    DATE:	9.   Securities Act(s) Cases	<ol><li>9. □ All other Diversity Cases</li></ol>			
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (Check Appropriate Category)  (Check Ap	10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (Check Appropriate Category)    Pursuant to Jocal Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:    Relief other than monetary damages is sought.    DATE:	11.7 All other Federal Question Cases 15 U.S. C. § 1692				
Check Appropriate Category)  Counsel of record do hereby certify:  Pursuant to Hocal Civil Rule 53.3, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE:  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.  DATE:  DATE:  Altorney-at-Law  Altorney-at-Law  Altorney-at-Law  Altorney-at-Law  Altorney-at-Law  Altorney-LD.#	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FICATION			
Pursuant to Jocal Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(e)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000,00 exclusive of interest and costs;  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 1-10	(Check Appropriate Cat	egory)			
S150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 1-10	- L 23 32 C 4	ediaf the damage recoverable in this shift and a sure and the sure of			
DATE: 11-10		ener, me damages recoverable in this eigh action case exceed me sum of			
Attorney 1.D.#  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  1 certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.  DATE: 1 1 1 0 Attorney 1.D.#	Relief other than monetary damages is sought.				
Attorney 1.D.#  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  1 certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.  DATE: 1 1 1 0 Attorney 1.D.#	DATE 1-10 (VINE Though mine	57/06			
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  1 certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.  DATE: 1 - 10		Attorney I.D.#			
DATE: 11-10 Crug The Kinine 57100 Alforney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#					
DATE: 11-10 Crug The Kinine 57100 Alforney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#	Legify that, to my knowledge the within case is not related to any own now	ithin and manufactured to the state of the s			
Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#		tand one year previously terminated action in this court			
	DATE: 11-10 Cruig The Lininel	57100			
		Attorney I.D.#			

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

# CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Angela Hoov	6	; ;	CIVIL ACTION		
Angela Hoov		:			
Creditors Fmone	ial Group	: ELC	NO.		
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s	se Management Treacopy on all defe vent that a defendable, with its first a ties, a Case Management	and Delay Rediack Designation and ants. (See § lant does not appearance, subgement Track E	action Plan of this court, count Form in all civil cases at the tall of the plan set forth on the ragree with the plaintiff regarding the to the clerk of court and selesignation Form specifying the	ime of everse ig said	
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CAS	E MANAGEM	IENT TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases b	prought under 28 U	J.S.C. § 2241 th	arough § 2255.	( )	
(b) Social Security – Cases r and Human Services den	equesting review or ying plaintiff Soci	of a decision of al Security Ben	the Secretary of Health efits.	( )	
(c) Arbitration – Cases requi	red to be designate	ed for arbitratio	n under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )	
<ul><li>(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.</li></ul>	ng claims for pers	onal injury or p	roperty damage from	( )	
<ul> <li>(e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse si management cases.)</li> </ul>	complex and that i	need special or	intense management by	( )	
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not t	all into any one	of the other tracks.	\(\frac{1}{2}\)	
11-1-10 Date 215-540-8888	rury The Attorney-at-1 877-785.	Krnmel -2864	Angela Haver Attorney for Kimme 1 Credithan	U.cem	
<del>Fel</del> ephone	FAX Numbe	r	E-Mail Address		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

# FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ANGELA HOOVER,	)
Plaintiff	)
v.	) Case No.:
CREDITORS FINANCIAL GROUP, LLC, Defendant	) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR ) JURY TRIAL
Defendant	) (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

# **COMPLAINT**

ANGELA HOOVER ("Plaintiff"), by her attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against CREDITORS FINANCIAL GROUP, LLC ("Defendant"):

#### INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28

 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.

- 3. Defendant conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
  - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).
- 5. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

#### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff, ANGELA HOOVER, is a natural person residing in Strasburg, Pennsylvania, 17579.
- 7. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 8. Defendant, CREDITORS FINANCIAL GROUP, LLC, is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 3131 South Vaughn Way, Suite 110, in Aurora, Colorado, 80014.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

. 

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

11. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") is a comprehensive statute, which prohibits a catalog of activities in connection with the collection of debts by third parties. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. The FDCPA imposes civil liability on any person or entity that violates its provisions, and establishes general standards of debt collector conduct, defines abuse, and provides for specific consumer rights. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The operative provisions of the FDCPA declare certain rights to be provided to or claimed by debtors, forbid deceitful and misleading practices, prohibit harassing and abusive tactics, and proscribe unfair or unconscionable conduct, both generally and in a specific list of disapproved practices.

12. In particular, the FDCPA broadly enumerates several practices considered contrary to its stated purpose, and forbids debt collectors from taking such action. The substantive heart of the FDCPA lies in three broad prohibitions. First, a "debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692d. Second, a "debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. And third, a "debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt." 15 U.S.C. §

The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous

ı

1692f.

 collectors, whether or not there exists a valid debt, broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods, conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor, and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with the collection of a debt.

13. In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that

- 13. In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that "[t]here is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors," which "contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." 15 U.S.C. § 1692a. Congress additionally found existing laws and procedures for redressing debt collection injuries to be inadequate to protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692b.
- 14. Congress enacted the FDCPA to regulate the collection of consumer debts by debt collectors. The express purposes of the FDCPA are to "eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 15. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 16. The alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 17. On or about August 5, 2009, Defendant sent Plaintiff a letter to Plaintiff seeking and demanding payment in the amount of \$4,014.18 for an alleged debt owed to Chase Bank. See Exhibit "A", Defendant's August 5, 2009, letter.
- 18. In its letter to Plaintiff, Defendant did not identify itself as a debt collector.
- 19. Thereafter, on or about September 11, 2009, Defendant sent a second letter to Plaintiff seeking and demanding payment for a debt alleged to be owed to Chase Bank.
- 20. Defendant claimed that Plaintiff's failure to respond to its previous correspondence, made it "unable to provide [its] client with an accurate disposition of the above account," leading Plaintiff to believe that this was a legal matter.
- 21. Further, Defendant stated, "We would like to be able to render a fair decision as to what the next step should be in recovering your past due balance," making Plaintiff believe that Defendant would take further collection actions if she

Then, on or about November 2, 2009, Defendant sent correspondence

to Plaintiff titled, "DEMAND FOR PAYMENT." See Exhibit "C", Defendant's November 2, 2009, letter.

22.

did not pay the alleged debt.

- 23. In its letter, Defendant threatened that if Plaintiff did not remit payment to its office "within a reasonable period of time," that it "will be compelled to recommend that your account be referred to our client for whatever action is necessary to effect collection." See Exhibit "C", Defendant's November 2, 2009, letter.
- 24. Less than thirty (30) days later, on or about November 30, 2009, Defendant sent correspondence to Plaintiff titled, "SETTLEMENT OFFER," again making Plaintiff believe that this was a legal matter.
- 25. Defendant offered Plaintiff a "balance reduction of up to 30% on your current balance due," but the only way for Plaintiff to take accept the offer was to contact Defendant.
- 26. Further, Defendant failed to state the actual amount of the settlement offer was or that there were possible tax consequences if the debt was settled for an amount which was less than \$3414.18.
- 27. Further, Defendant encouraged Plaintiff to contact it regardless of whether she could pay the alleged debt, stating that there were "alternative

methods of payment," which was confusing to Plaintiff and caused Plaintiff to believe that Defendant wanted her to ask family and friends for money.

- 28. In addition to sending Plaintiff letters in its attempts to collect the alleged debt, Defendant made repeated telephone calls to Plaintiff's home telephone, for no other purpose than to harass Plaintiff.
- 29. In fact, in its September 11, 2009, letter to Plaintiff, Defendant acknowledged having made repeated and harassing calls to Plaintiff, stating "We have tried diligently on *numerous occasions*, by both *telephone* and mail, to reach you..." (emphasis added). See Exhibit "B", Defendant's September 11, 2009, correspondence.
- 30. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect the alleged debt were harassing, misleading and highly deceptive.

# CONSTRUCTION OF APPLICABLE LAW

31. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. <u>Taylor v. Perrin, Landry, deLaunay & Durand</u>, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages." <u>Russell v. Equifax A.R.S.</u>, 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp., 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding

unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA);

<u>Clomon v. Jackson</u>, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).

- 32. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 et seq., is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).
- 33. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for

1318.

9

12 13

14

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

25

24

bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at

## COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 34. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. Defendant violated of the FDCPA generally;
  - b. Defendant violated § 1692d of the FDCPA by harassing Plaintiff in connection with the collection of an alleged debt;
  - c. Defendant violated § 1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a debt;
  - d. Defendant violated § 1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to take action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken;
  - e. Defendant violated § 1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using false representations or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect a debt;
  - f. Defendant violated § 1692e(11) of the FDCPA by failing to disclose in the initial written communication with the consumer

25

that it was a debt collector attempting to collect a debt and that any information obtained will be used for that purpose;

- g. Defendant violated § 1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair and unconscionable means with Plaintiff to collect or attempt to collect a debt;
- h. Defendant acted in an otherwise deceptive, unfair and unconscionable manner and failed to comply with the FDCPA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, ANGELA HOOVER, respectfully pray for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual compensatory damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and .
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

24

25

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, ANGELA HOOVER, demands a

jury trial in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

DATED: November 1, 2010 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

Ву:

Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney ID # 57100 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike

Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (215) 540-8817

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com

#### PO BOX 440290 AURORA CO 80044-0290

Reference No. 6894234 CMP

Date: 08-05-09



RE: Your account with our client CHASE BANK, USA, N.A.

Date of Service: 02-27-09 Account: 5263400259221441 Total Due: \$4014.18

PLEASE DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION WITH YOUR PAYMENT

The above claim for 4014.18 has been placed with our office for collection.

At this time we are asking that you kindly forward your check or money order, made payable to - Creditors Financial Group, in the enclosed envelope. Should you have any additional questions or wish to make payment arrangements please contact our office at the toll free number listed above.

Respectfully,

Creditors Financial Group

Unless you, the consumer, notify this collection agency within thirty (30) days after receipt of this notice that you dispute the validity of the debt or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by this collection agency. If you, the consumer, notify this collection agency in writing within thirty (30) days after receipt of this notice, that the debt or any portion thereof is disputed, this collection agency will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against you and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to you by this collection agency. Upon your written request within thirty (30) days after receipt of this notice this collection agency will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE COLORADO FAIR DEBT COLLECTON PRACTICES ACT, SEE WWW.AGO.STATE.CO.US/CADC/CADCMAIN.CFM

A consumer has the right to request in writing that a debt collector or collection agency cease further communication with the consumer. A written request to cease communication will not prohibit the debt collector or collection agency from taking any other action authorized by law to collect the debt.

Creditors Financial Group, LLC 3131 South Vaughn Way Ste 110 Aurora, CO 80014 303-369-2345

Office Hours: Monday - Thursday Friday Saturday

7:00 am - 7:00 pm 7:00 am - 5:00 pm 7:00 am - 12:00 Noon Closed



Filed 11/02/10 Page 16 of 18 Case 5:10-cv-05905-MSG Document 1 PO BOX 440290 AURORA CO 80044-0290 VANCIAL GROUP, LLC Toll Free: 1-877-298-2251 RE: Your account with our client Reference No. 6894234 'C11 CHASE BANK, USA, N.A. Date of Service: 02-27-09 Date: 09-11-09 Account: 5263400259221441 Total Due: \$4014.18 المافية المنافط المساطعة المنافية والمنافقة والمسالة والم HOOVER, AMILA CREDITORS FINANCIAL GROUP, LLC 900 MAY POST OFFICE RD PO BOX 440290 STRASBURG PA 17579-9729 AURORA CO 80044-0290 Due to a lack of response, this office has been unable to provide our client with an accurate disposition on the above account. We have tried diligently on numerous occasions, by both telephone and mail, to reach you but have been unsuccessful. We would like to be able to render a fair decision as to what the next step should be in recovering your past due balance. We would like you to recognize the obligation you have to our client by either paying the full amount due on your account or contacting this office to work out a suitable payment arrangement. As we have mentioned before, our specially trained account representatives are ready to assist you with making the arrangements necessary to resolve this matter. Please call during our convenient business hours. Is your address correct? Please update if it is not What is your daytime phone number? What is your evening phone number (if different than day) Do you have an alternate reach? (cell phone, pager)

Sincerely,

Creditors Financial Group

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This correspondence has been sent by a professional debt collector.

> Creditors Financial Group, LLC 3131 South Vaughn Way Ste 110 Aurora, CO 80014 303-369-2345

Office Hours: Monday - Thursday Friday

Saturday Sunday

7:00 am - 7:00 pm 7:00 am - 5:00 pm 7:00 am - 12:00 Noon Closed



#### PO BOX 440290 AURORA CO 80044-0290

Reference No. 6894234 C11

Date: 11-02-09

CREDITORS
FINANCIAL GROUP, LLC
Toll Free: 1-877-298-2251

BE: Your account with our client CHASE BANK, USA, N.A.

Date of Service: 02-27-09 Account: 5263400259221441 Total Due: \$4014.18

Hubballumbalallumb

PLEASE DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION WITH YOUR PAYMENT

#### -DEMAND FOR PAYMENT-

If your remittance is not received by this office within a reasonable period of time, we will be compelled to recommend that your account be referred to our client for whatever action is necessary to effect collection.

It is therefore imperative that you forward full payment or contact us at the toll free number listed above to make arrangements.

Sincerely yours,

Creditors Financial Group

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This correspondence has been sent by a professional debt collector.

Threat upout foilowing Through

Creditors Financial Group, LLC 3131 South Vaughn Way Ste 110 Aurora, CO 80014 303-369-2345

Office Hours:

Monday - Thursday Friday Saturday Sunday 7:00 am - 7:00 pm 7:00 am - 5:00 pm 7:00 am - 12:00 Noon Closed

cra



#### PO BOX 440290 AURORA CO 80044-0290

Reference No. 6894234 C37

Date: 11-30-09 · ·

68-M2

A-01-CWM-AM-03748-18

A COVER, AMILA

900 MAY POST OFFICE RD

STRASBURG PA 17579-9729



RE: Your account with our client CHASE BANK, USA, N.A.

Date of Service: 02-27-09 Account: 5263400259221441 Total Due: \$4014.18

#### SETTLEMENT OFFER:

In reviewing the above referenced account we have determined that you are entitled for a balance reduction of up to 30% on your current balance due. If you wish to take advantage of this opportunity please contact our office immediately at the toll free number listed above for information regarding this settlement offer, including alternative methods of payment. This offer will expire 30 days after the date of this notice. It would be to your benefit to take advantage of this offer while we are still able to extend it to you.

Sincerely yours,

Creditors Financial Group

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This correspondence has been sent by a professional debt collector.

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

Creditors Financial Group, LLC 3131 South Vaughn Way Ste 110 Aurora, CO 80014 303-369-2345 Office Hours:

Monday - Thursday Friday

Saturday Sunday 7:00 am - 7:00 pm 7:00 am - 5:00 pm 7:00 am - 12:00 Noon Closed